

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/529,654	LOCH III ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) Kenneth F. Mitchell, Ph.D.

Status of Application: 80

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 June 2005

Time: 10:15am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

59 and 65


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicants' representative for permission to correct the valency problem in claims 59 and 65, under the definition of R(1).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)